

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/673,207</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) KANG ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner AKWASI M. SARPONG</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2625</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/673,207	Applicant(s) KANG ET AL.	Examiner AKWASI M. SARPONG	Art Unit 2625
Application No. 10/673,207	Applicant(s) KANG ET AL.				
Examiner AKWASI M. SARPONG	Art Unit 2625				

All Participants:

(1) AKWASI M. SARPONG.

(2) Chan Park.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2011

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Kevin Roddy(Reg. 50577).

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
No Rejection was discussed

Claims discussed:
Claim 43

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAN S PARK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)